

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/886,580 | Applicant(s) ZAJAC ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Rudy Zervigon | Art Unit 1763 | |

All Participants:

(1) Rudy Zervigon.

(2) Edward S. Wright.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 17 August 2006

Time: 14:49

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

All pending

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:


None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

I called Mr. Wright to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Wright confirmed that no response to the final rejection of 2/16/2006 was filed acknowledging the abandonment of the application.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)